

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,626	04/11/2001 .	R. G.F. Visser	294-52 CIP	1804	
23869 75	590 11/24/2003	EXAMINER			
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE			MCINTOSH III, TRAVISS C		
SYOSSET, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1623		
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	<u> </u>	1
•		ì

Advisory Action

	Fi	le cpy
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/832,626	VISSER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Traviss C McIntosh	1623	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abando final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely file condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	onment of this application. A proper reply to a led amendment which places the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [chec	k either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the	final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Actiono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH 706.07(f).	MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which fee have been filed is the date-for purposes of determining the period of extension at fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than to timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 September 2003. Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d))	·
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consider	ation and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better for issues for appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corres	sponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112	second paragraph.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	le if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsider application in condition for allowance because:	ration has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is no raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ot directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ wi explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-21</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-	1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	JAMES O. WILSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLORY CENTER 1600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Part of Paper No. 13

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/832,626

Continuation of 2. NOTE: new claims 22-25 contian subject matter which would require a further search and consideration.

Rejections of record are maintained for the reasons of record.

JAMES O. WILSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**